

**G20**

*Monopolies of information technology multinationals*



***Research Report***

Leiden Model United Nations 2023

*“let us sing songs of freedom together”*



|                         |   |
|-------------------------|---|
| <b>Forum:</b>           | Group of Twenty                                     |
| <b>Issue:</b>           | Monopolies of Information Technology Multinationals |
| <b>Student Officer:</b> | Rita Bozlék   |
| <b>Position:</b>        | Chair of Group of Twenty                            |

## Introduction

In recent years, the monopolistic practices of information technology (IT) multinationals have gained significant attention globally. The dominance of a few major players in the IT industry has raised concerns regarding market competition, innovation, and the concentration of power. This essay aims to elaborate on the major parties involved in this issue, including countries and non-governmental organisations (NGOs). Furthermore, it will delve into the key terms related to this topic and provide their comprehensive definitions. By examining the interconnectedness of various stakeholders, it becomes evident that addressing these monopolies is essential for fostering a fair and competitive digital landscape.

## Definition of Key Terms

### **Monopolies:**

A situation where a single company or a group of companies control a significant share of a particular market, giving them the power to restrict competition and dominate the industry.

### **Information technology (IT):**

The use, development, and management of computer-based systems and technological resources for the storage, retrieval, transmission, and manipulation of data and information.

### **Multinationals:**

Companies operating in multiple countries, with subsidiaries, branches, or affiliates in different global locations.

### **Market competition:**

The rivalry among companies in a market, where various firms compete to attract customers by offering differentiated or similar goods and services.

### **Concentration of power:**

The accumulation of economic or market influence in the hands of a limited number of entities, enabling them to exert control and influence over relevant sectors.

### **Antitrust:**

Laws and regulations designed to promote fair competition in the market by preventing anti-competitive practices, such as monopolies, mergers, and price-fixing.

### **Regulatory Frameworks:**

Sets of rules, laws, and guidelines established by governmental bodies to regulate and govern various aspects of an industry, including competition, data privacy, and intellectual property.



### **Digital Economy:**

The economic activities, transactions, and interactions that occur in the digital realm, including e-commerce, online services, and digital platforms.

### **Data Privacy:**

The protection of individuals' personal data, ensuring that their information is collected, stored, and processed in a manner that respects their privacy rights.

### **Internet Freedom:**

The principle that promotes unrestricted access to and use of the internet, enabling individuals to exercise their rights to freedom of expression and access to information without undue interference or censorship.

## **General Overview**

### **Power and its Implications**

Information technology multinationals have achieved a level of dominance that grants them significant control over vast amounts of digital information and resources. Such monopolistic power has several implications for countries and their economies. Firstly, it limits competition, as smaller firms struggle to enter the market, resulting in reduced innovation and stunted economic growth. Additionally, these multinational corporations often exploit their monopolistic power to drive out competitors, thereby impeding job creation and economic development.

### **Issues Faced by Countries and their Economies**

Countries and their economies face several challenges due to the monopolies held by information technology multinationals. One significant problem is the erosion of tax revenues. These companies often employ complex financial arrangements, such as transfer pricing and tax havens, to minimise their tax liabilities, depriving governments of essential funding for public services. Such practices also create an uneven playing field for local businesses, which bear a higher tax burden.

Another issue is data privacy and security. As information technology multinationals accumulate vast amounts of user data, concerns over the misuse and mishandling of this information arise. Governments struggle to regulate the practices of these companies effectively, leaving individuals vulnerable to data breaches and privacy violations. The lack



of transparency surrounding data collection and usage by these multinationals exacerbates the problem.

### **Party involve Steps Taken to Address the Issues**

Recognizing the challenges posed by monopolies of information technology multinationals, countries have implemented various measures to mitigate their impact. One approach is the enforcement of antitrust laws. Governments pursue legal actions to curb monopolistic practices, imposing fines and regulations on these companies. For instance, the European Union has fined Google multiple times for violating antitrust regulations, aiming to foster fair competition and protect consumers.

Countries have also taken steps to tackle tax avoidance by information technology multinationals. The Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) developed the Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS) project, which aims to close the loopholes that enable these multinationals to shift profits to low-tax jurisdictions. Additionally, some countries have introduced digital services taxes on these companies' revenues, seeking to ensure a fair contribution to the national tax base.

### **Cooperation among Countries**

Given the global nature of information technology multinationals, cooperation among countries is crucial in addressing the challenges posed by their monopolies. International collaboration allows for the sharing of best practices, the harmonisation of regulations, and the creation of a more level playing field. Several initiatives have been undertaken in this regard.

The G20 and the OECD have been at the forefront of international efforts to combat tax avoidance. They have established a framework for implementing the BEPS project, encouraging countries to adopt its recommendations. Furthermore, the G20 Digital Economy Task Force aims to enhance cooperation and coordination on digital economy issues, including the regulation of information technology multinationals.

The European Union has also played a pivotal role in fostering cooperation. The General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), implemented in 2018, establishes a unified framework for data protection across member states, setting standards for information technology multinationals operating within the EU. This cooperation ensures that user data is adequately protected and contributes to a safer digital environment.



## Major Parties Involved

### United States of America

As the home to several IT giants, including Facebook, Google, and Amazon, the policies and regulatory actions of the U.S. government significantly impact the global IT industry. The country's lenient handling of antitrust concerns in the past has contributed to the growth of monopolies.

### European Union (EU)

The EU has taken a proactive stance against the monopolistic practices of IT multinationals. Through initiatives like the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and fines imposed on companies like Google, the EU has attempted to curb monopolies and protect users' privacy rights.

### China

With companies like Alibaba, Baidu, and WeChat dominating the Chinese IT market, China plays a significant role in the global landscape. The Chinese government's approach to regulating these companies influences the balance of power within the IT market.

### India

As a country with a rapidly growing digital economy, India is both a consumer and a battleground for IT multinationals. The Indian government's policies and regulations on data privacy and market competition impact the global discourse on IT monopolies.

### Non-Governmental organisations (NGO-s) related to Information Technology

NGOs such as Electronic Frontier Foundation (EFF) and Access Now have actively advocated for internet freedom, privacy rights, and fair competition. These organisations have played a crucial role in raising awareness about the monopolistic practices of IT multinationals.

### United Nations (UN)

The United Nations has emphasised the need for digital cooperation to address issues related to IT monopolies. It serves as a platform for various countries to engage in discussions and establish guidelines for fair market practices.

### World Trade Organization (WTO)

The WTO plays a role in shaping international trade policies, including those concerning the IT industry. Its agreements, such as the Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPS), influence the regulatory frameworks that govern the actions of IT multinationals.

### Competition Authorities

Competition authorities in different countries, such as the United States Department of Justice and the European Commission's Directorate-General for Competition, investigate and take action against anticompetitive practices of IT multinationals.



### **Consumers**

Internet users and consumers are indirectly impacted by IT monopolies as their choices and data privacy are influenced by the practices of dominant companies. Consumer awareness and demands for greater competition can drive changes in the industry.

### **Academia**

Researchers and scholars in the field of IT, economics, and law contribute to the understanding of IT monopolies through their studies, analysis, and recommendations for regulatory interventions.

### **Privacy Advocacy Organisations**

Organisations like Privacy International focus on protecting individuals' privacy rights and highlighting the systemic risks associated with IT monopolies.

### **Media**

Journalists and media outlets play a critical role in reporting on the practices and impacts of IT monopolies. Their coverage helps raise awareness and fosters public discourse on the issue.

### **Open-Source Community**

Open-source software and communities provide alternatives to proprietary solutions offered by IT multinationals. They promote collaborative development and aim to decentralise power in the IT landscape.



## Timeline of Events

- 1998** United States v. Microsoft Corporation  
This landmark antitrust case marked the first major legal challenge against a tech giant, Microsoft, accusing it of monopolistic practices in the IT industry. The US government, along with 20 states, argued that Microsoft's bundling of Internet Explorer with its Windows operating system constituted unfair competition. The court ultimately found Microsoft guilty of illegally wielding its monopoly power and violating the Sherman Antitrust Act, leading to a settlement that curtailed its monopolistic behavior.
- 2001** European Commission vs. Microsoft  
Following the United States' case against Microsoft, the European Commission (EC) launched its own investigation into the company's monopolistic practices. The EC accused Microsoft of abusing its dominant market position by integrating its Media Player into Windows. In 2004, the EC ordered Microsoft to provide a version of Windows without Media Player and imposed a fine of €497 million. This case highlighted the global nature of IT monopoly concerns and the importance of cooperative efforts between governments.
- 2016** European Commission vs. Google  
The European Commission initiated an investigation into Google's Android operating system, alleging that the company exploited its dominant position in the mobile market by imposing unfair conditions on device manufacturers. In 2018, the EC fined Google €4.34 billion for illegal practices related to Android, underscoring the need to regulate IT multinationals to ensure fair competition.
- 2020** Indian Government bans Chinese apps  
In response to rising concerns over data security and privacy, the Indian government banned a total of 224 Chinese-origin mobile applications, including popular ones like TikTok and WeChat. This action sought to address the monopolistic practices of these Chinese IT multinationals and safeguard national security interests, highlighting the expanding global efforts to counter the dominance of such corporations.

## Possible Solutions

### Organisations Enhancing regulatory frameworks at national and international levels

Governments could establish robust regulations that govern the practices of IT multinationals and curb their monopolistic tendencies. This includes implementing antitrust laws that prevent the abuse of market dominance and the unfair exploitation of user data. Additionally, international agreements and collaborations need to be strengthened to ensure effective regulation in the globalised IT industry.

### Promoting open-source technologies and fostering innovation and competition

Another viable solution lies in promoting open-source technologies and fostering innovation and competition. Governments should invest in research and development, actively encouraging the growth of start-ups, and supporting alternative platforms. By diversifying the options available to users, monopolies will gradually lose their dominance, fostering a healthier and more competitive technological landscape. Moreover, governments should



actively promote open-access policies that enable the sharing of valuable data and resources to spur innovation and counter monopolistic tendencies.

### Enhancing digital literacy and empowering users

Enhancing digital literacy and empowering users is crucial to combat monopolies of IT multinationals. Governments should invest in educational programs, ensuring that individuals are equipped with the skills and knowledge to navigate the digital world effectively. This includes educating users about privacy settings, critical thinking, and promoting awareness of potential biases in search results and social media algorithms. By empowering individuals to make informed choices and taking control of their own data, the influence of IT monopolies can be mitigated.

### Advocating international collaboration

There is an urgent need for international collaboration to address the challenges posed by the monopolies of IT multinationals. Nations should work together to establish global standards and regulations that govern the practices of these companies. International organisations like the United Nations and the World Trade Organization can play significant roles in facilitating these discussions and ensuring that the global community collectively addresses this issue.

### Sources

1. Kranzberg, M. (2001). Technology and the Multinational Corporation. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 32(1), 108-117.
  2. Rodrik, D. (2018). The new globalisation: Going beyond the rhetoric. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 32(4), 3-24.
  3. European Commission. (2018). Antitrust: Commission fines Google €1.49 billion for abusive practices in online advertising. Retrieved from [https://ec.europa.eu/commission/presscorner/detail/en/IP\\_19\\_1770](https://ec.europa.eu/commission/presscorner/detail/en/IP_19_1770)
  4. OECD. (2015). Addressing the Tax Challenges of the Digital Economy. Retrieved from <http://www.oecd.org/ctp/addressing-the-tax-challenges-of-the-digital-economy-action-1-2015-final-report-9789264241046-en.htm>
  5. IMF. (2019). Digital Economy and the Pandemic: Key Themes from Asia and Europe. Retrieved from <https://www.imf.org/en/Topics/imf-and-covid19/Digital-Economy-and-the-Pandemic-Key-Themes-from-Asia-and-Europe>
  6. European Commission. (2016). Commission adopts new rules on transparency of tax planning by multinationals. Retrieved from [https://ec.europa.eu/taxation\\_customs/business/company-tax/transparency\\_en](https://ec.europa.eu/taxation_customs/business/company-tax/transparency_en)
  7. European Union. (2016). General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR). Retrieved from <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/eli/reg/2016/679/oj>
- European Commission. (2018). Antitrust: Commission fines Google €4.34 billion for illegal practices regarding Android mobile devices to strengthen dominance of Google's search engine. Retrieved from [https://ec.europa.eu/commission/presscorner/detail/en/ip\\_18\\_4581](https://ec.europa.eu/commission/presscorner/detail/en/ip_18_4581)





4. Ahlborn, C. (2020). Digital protectionism: India's app ban and data sovereignty. *Info*, 22(4), 1-24.
5. *United States v. Microsoft Corp.*, 253 F.3d 34 (D.C. Cir. 2001).
6. Open Markets Institute. (n.d.). About. Retrieved from <https://www.openmarketsinstitute.org/about>
7. The Electronic Frontier Foundation. (n.d.). About. Retrieved from <https://www.eff.org/about/>
8. Mann, C. (2005). The Microsoft case: Antitrust, high technology, and consumer welfare. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 19(2), 61-80.
9. Hartmann, L., & Rake, B. (2020). Why the US and EU still have very different strategies on regulating big tech companies. Retrieved from <https://www.brookings.edu/blog/techtank/2020/02/04/why-the-us-and-eu-still-have-very-different-strategies-on-regulating-big-tech-companies/>